

**SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA**



ITEM: 2.7
(ID # 30478)

MEETING DATE:
Tuesday, May 12, 2026

FROM : EXECUTIVE OFFICE

SUBJECT: EXECUTIVE OFFICE: Fiscal Year 2026-27 Community Budget Priorities Survey Results and Community Workshop Public Comment Summary

RECOMMENDED MOTION: That the Board of Supervisors:

1. Receive and file the analysis of responses to the FY26/27 Community Budget Priorities Survey prepared by the UC Riverside School of Public Policy;
2. Receive and file the FY26/27 Community Budget Workshops Comprehensive Public Comment Summary.

ACTION: Consent

Jeff Van Wageningen, County Executive Officer 5/8/2026

MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

On motion of Supervisor Medina, seconded by Supervisor Washington and duly carried by unanimous vote, IT WAS ORDERED that the above matter is received and filed as recommended.

Ayes: Medina, Spiegel, Washington, Perez, and Gutierrez
Nays: None
Absent: None
Date: May 12, 2026
xc: EO

Kimberly A. Rector
Clerk of the Board
By:
Deputy

**SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE,
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

FINANCIAL DATA	Current Fiscal Year:	Next Fiscal Year:	Total Cost:	Ongoing Cost
COST		\$0	\$0	\$0
NET COUNTY COST	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SOURCE OF FUNDS:			Budget Adjustment:	
			For Fiscal Year:	

BACKGROUND:

Last year, the County Executive Office, at the direction of the Board of Supervisors, embarked on an expanded public outreach campaign to increase participation in the County’s annual budget process. This outreach campaign provided more ways for Riverside County residents to voice their opinions earlier in the budget development process, including taking a survey, attending an in-person workshop, and reading a learning guide called *Budget 101*. This expanded outreach is now a part of our annual budget process. The campaign goal is to make our budget process more inclusive and responsive to the needs of our diverse communities. By engaging the community earlier in the budget planning process, we seek to address the needs and priorities of our residents.

Summary:

The first step in this outreach process is to distribute an annual budget priorities survey, in which residents were invited to provide feedback and input into how county taxpayer dollars are spent across county department portfolios and services for the upcoming fiscal year. The survey was available online in both English and Spanish. Hard copy surveys were distributed by a local nonprofit and entered by County staff. Survey participants identified services of greater need in their areas, as well as rank categories of spending, provide answers to multiple choice questions (quantitative), as well as share feedback in narrative form (qualitative). The survey opened on January 5, 2026, and closed on February 28, 2026. We received a total of 26,543 responses (25,944 of which were in English and 599 were in Spanish).

The results of the survey were provided to the University of California Riverside School of Public Policy. Dr. Mark Long, the Dean of the School of Public Policy, working with Andres Gugig and Esther Mejia, two graduate students in the Master of Public Policy program, conducted an analysis of our survey data. As part of their work, they broke the data down into a variety of categories, differentiating between residents and non-residents of the County, adults and minors, and by supervisorial district. Additionally, they weighted the results by current county demographics to ensure it was a statistically relevant representation of Riverside County residents.

For the weighted analysis, the UCR School of Public Policy team constructed a weight for each respondent that is equal to the ratio of the percentage of the respondent’s age, gender, education level, and race/ethnicity group in the American Community Survey (ACS) 5-year data from 2019-23 to that group’s percentage in the RivCo survey data. The ACS data comes from the United States Census Bureau. As an example, from the census data, the team learned that persons who are age 45-54 years old, male, Hispanic, and with less than a high school

**SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE,
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

education comprise 0.84% of Riverside County residents, whereas in the survey, this demographic group only contributed 0.07% of all respondents (11 out of 15,811). That is, age 45-54 years old, male, Hispanics, with less than a high school education were underrepresented in the survey respondents. To adjust for the underrepresentation, the academic team weighed each response account for the discrepancy. In contrast, for those demographic groups that were overrepresented in the survey results, the results were also weighted to account for the difference.

The analysis of the qualitative data performed by the UCR School of Public Policy yielded the following key findings:

- 1) Infrastructure was noted as a top concern by respondents with a total of 2,892 mentions. Respondents who selected infrastructure-related priorities in multiple choice questions also added concerns in open-ended responses through mentions of roads, sidewalks, and street lighting.
- 2) The next top concern was transportation, which was mentioned 978 times. Participants who selected transportation in multiple choice questions, often expressed these same concerns in open-ended fields, while also expanding on them by describing the barriers to accessing services, employment, and healthcare.
- 3) Public Safety was mentioned 842 times, Community Engagement 701 times, and Housing 612 times.
- 4) Housing related sections in the structured multiple-choice responses were consistently reflected in open-ended responses through mentions of rent, affordability, and housing availability.
- 5) Spanish language respondents expressed a need for English classes for adult learners, as well as access to bilingual materials, translation services and Spanish community meetings.

In addition to the online survey, the County held five Community Budget Workshops in each supervisorial district on April 7, April 8, April 21, April 22 and April 30, 2026. Approximately 158 residents attended the workshops, 56 residents shared verbal or written comments, and 782 in-person votes were recorded for priority funding categories. The top three themes captured during verbal or written comments during the workshops were Affordable Housing; Childcare and Youth Programs; and Governance, Accountability and Fiscal Oversight. The top three categories captured for in-person voting were Affordable Housing; Social Assistance Programs; and Medical Care and Health Services.

Impact on Residents and Businesses

Improved engagement in the budget process leads to better, more responsive budgeting.

Attachments

- A. FY26/27 Community Budget Priorities Survey Results
- B. FY26/27 Community Budget Workshops Comprehensive Public Comment Summary

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. BACKGROUND

2. QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS

Methodology

Question 1

Question 2

Question 3

Question 4

Question 5

Question 6

Question 8

3. QUALITATIVE ANALYSIS

Methodology

Findings

Policy Recommendations

4. CONCLUSION

5. APPENDIX

Stata Code for Quantitative Analysis

Andres Gugig, Mark C. Long, Ph.D., and Esther Mejia

SUMMARY:

Riverside County fielded a survey during January and February 2026 designed to elicit respondents' views on where the County should devote increased priority. The survey included both closed-ended, limited-response questions, producing quantitative data, and open-ended questions, producing qualitative data.

Our analysis of the quantitative data yielded the following key findings: (1) *Public Works and Community Services, Public Safety, Health, and Human Services* were the categories of county services most likely chosen as priorities; (2) improved *Road Maintenance, Social Assistance Programs, Affordable Housing, and Medical Care and Health Services* were the most frequently cited "initiatives" for the County; (3) *Paved Roads* was the only utility and public works cited by a majority of respondents as a need in the respondent's community; (4) *Medical and Healthcare Providers, Community Centers, and Grocery Stores* were the most frequently selected as other important service providers needed (although none of these were cited by a majority of respondents); (5) respondents favored spending a bit more than one-fifth of the county's budget on *Health and Hospital Services* and *Public Safety*, a bit less than one-fifth on *Public Works and Community Services* and *Human Services*, roughly one-tenth of the budget on *Finance and Government Services* and *Internal Services*. For the most part, results were relatively unchanged when weighting the analysis to make the results representative of all Riverside County residents, based on demographic characteristics.

Open-ended responses reveal major unmet needs in infrastructure, transportation, affordable housing, and healthcare. Spanish-language respondents also emphasized the critical need for linguistic inclusion and equitable access to county services.

Notes: Mark C. Long (marklong@ucr.edu) is Dean and Professor in the UC-Riverside School of Public Policy. Andres Gugig and Esther Mejia are graduate students working on earning Master of Public Policy degrees in the UCR School of Public Policy. Long was the principal author of the quantitative analysis while Gugig and Mejia were the principal authors of the qualitative analysis. Work on this report was conducted as a service to Riverside County. We alone are responsible for the claims made in this paper, as well as any errors contained herein.

1. BACKGROUND

Riverside County (RivCo) fielded a survey during the months of January and February 2026. The purpose of the survey was discussed in the following press release (<https://rivco.gov/news/county-launches-annual-community-budget-priorities-survey>):

The County of Riverside wants to hear from residents on what they want to see in the county's budget. The county launched its annual budget priorities survey to gauge residents' priorities, needs and requests. Residents are invited to provide input into how county taxpayer dollars are spent across county departments and services for the upcoming fiscal year. ...The survey is part of an expanded effort to give Riverside County residents more opportunities to voice their opinions early and often during the budget development process.

The survey received 26,543 responses (25,944 responses to the English language version of the survey and 599 responses to the Spanish language version). The 7,556 respondents who did not indicate that they were a resident of Riverside County are labeled "Outside Riverside County Resident". However, such individuals may, indeed, live in Riverside County despite having not provided this indication. Of the remainder, 15,811 responses had responses to the demographic questions on age, gender, education, and race/ethnicity to allow us to weight the responses to make the results representative of Riverside County residents. 45 responses were received from individuals under the age of 18. The following were the counts of respondents by Riverside County supervisorial districts based on the respondent's zipcode (note: some zipcodes span multiple districts): District 1 = 5,828, District 2 = 6,954, District 3 = 6,446, District 4 = 5,743, District 5 = 4,435.

2. QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS

Methodology

In the charts below, we show the data presented in 10 separate ways:

- All Respondents, Unweighted
- Riverside County Residents, Weighted by Demographics
- Riverside County Adults (i.e., 18 years or older), Weighted by Demographics
- Riverside County Respondents, Lacking Demographics
- Riverside County, District 1 Respondent
- Riverside County, District 2 Respondent
- Riverside County, District 3 Respondent
- Riverside County, District 4 Respondent
- Riverside County, District 5 Respondent
- Outside Riverside County Respondent

We focus our attention on the third metric (i.e., Riverside County Adults, Weighted by Demographics) as we believe that this may be the most useful for county decision making. Survey respondents' preferences are sorted in the charts below based on this third metric. Other metrics are shown for completeness and in recognition that results for some other groups (e.g., a particular

district's respondents) may be valuable. Analysis is conducted using Stata 19.5 software with the code given in the appendix.

For the weighted analysis, we construct a weight for each respondent that is equal to the ratio of the percentage of the respondent's age, gender, education level, and race/ethnicity group in the American Community Survey (ACS) 5-year data from 2019-23 to that group's percentage in the RivCo survey data. The ACS data comes from the United States Census Bureau, and we utilize the IPUMS version of this data from Ruggles et al. (2025) (with full citation in the Stata code shown in the Appendix).

As an example, from the ACS, we learn that persons who are age 45-54 years old, male, Hispanic, and with less than a high school education comprise 0.84% of Riverside County residents, whereas in the RivCo data, this demographic group only contributed 0.07% of all respondents (11 out of 15,811 survey respondents with non-missing demographic data). That is, age 45-54 years old, male, Hispanics, with less than a high school education are underrepresented in the RivCo survey respondents. Taking the ratio of these figures (i.e., 0.84%/0.06%) yields a weight of 15.95 - each survey respondent who is age 45-54 years old, male, Hispanic, and with less than a high school education is treated as if he is equivalent to nearly sixteen survey respondents. In contrast, age 45-54 years old, male, Hispanics, with a postgraduate degree are overrepresented in the RivCo survey respondents and each receives a weight of 0.26 - that is, roughly speaking, each quartet of age 45-54 years old, male, Hispanics, with a postgraduate degree are treated as if they represent one person.


Question 1

Question 1 was as follows, "Which Riverside County services are most important to you? (Choose up to 3)" and the following choices were given:

- "Public safety (Safety and security, emergency response, probation)"
- "Internal services (human resources, information technology, purchasing, county facilities)"
- "Human services (Housing help, veterans' services, senior support, child and family services, social programs)"
- "Health (Public health, health clinics, hospitals, mental/behavioral health)"
- "Public works and community services (Animal services, farming support, roads, planning, building safety, code enforcement, restaurant and pool inspections, parks, libraries, flood protection, waste services, business growth)"
- "Finance & Government Services (Property assessment, tax collection, managing public funds, elections)"

Figure 1, below, shows the results. "Public Works and Community Services" was chosen by 65% of respondents (per the weighted adults metric), followed by "Public Safety" (57%), "Health" (56%), and "Human Services" (54%). Trailing these categories were "Finance and Government Services" (23%) and "Internal Services" (4%).

Figure 1:



Question 2

Question 2 was as follows, "Choose the initiatives you think should receive more funding in Riverside County. (Choose up to 5)" and the following choices were given:

- "Disease detection and vaccination programs"
- "Fire and emergency response"
- "Child protection and family support"
- "Rehabilitation, re-entry and probation support"
- "Business growth and job support"
- "Social assistance programs (housing, veterans' services, senior services, food support)"
- "Financial budget and auditing"
- "Road maintenance"
- "Parks and libraries"
- "Affordable housing"
- "Medical care and health services"
- "Law enforcement officers"

- "Animal services"
- "Mental/behavioral health services"

Figure 2, split into two panels below, shows the results. The top choices for *more* funding were "Road Maintenance" (53%), "Social Assistance Programs" (49%), "Affordable Housing" (45%), and "Medical Care and Health Services" (43%). The options least often chosen were "Financial Budgeting and Auditing" (13%), "Disease Detection and Vaccination" (12%), and "Rehabilitation and Probation Support" (10%).

Figure 2 (part a):





Figure 2 (part b):



Question 3

Question 3 was as follows, “Choose the initiatives you think should receive less funding in Riverside County. (Choose up to 5)” and the following choices were given:

- “Animal services”
- “Law enforcement officers”
- “Fire and emergency response”
- “Rehabilitation, re-entry and probation support”
- “Social assistance programs (housing, veterans’ services, senior services, food support)”
- “Mental/behavioral health services”
- “Affordable housing”
- “Medical care and health services”
- “Disease detection and vaccination programs”
- “Child protection and family support”
- “Parks and libraries”
- “Business growth and job support”

- "Financial budget and auditing"
- "Road maintenance"

Figure 3, split into two panels below, shows the results. The top choices for *less* funding were "Rehabilitation and Probation Support" (34%), Financial Budgeting and Auditing" (32%), "Disease Detection and Vaccination" (30%), and "Law Enforcement Officers" (28%). The options least often chosen were "Medical Care and Health Services" (8%), "Child Protection and Family Support" (8%), and "Fire and Emergency Support" (6%).

Figure 3 (part a):





Figure 3 (part b):




Question 4

Question 4 was as follows, “What utility or public works improvements does your community need? Choose up to 3 and tell us where they are needed in the answer box below. If none apply please skip this question.” and the following choices were given:

- “Internet services”
- “Sidewalks”
- “Sewer”
- “Parks”
- “Electric”
- “Bridges”
- “Street lighting”
- “Water”
- Paved roads”

Figure 4, below, shows the results. “Paved Roads” was the only option to receive majority support (51%), followed by “Street Lighting” (34%), and “Sidewalks” (28%). The options least chosen were “Electric” (12%), “Sewer” (11%), and “Bridges” (8%).

Figure 4:



Responses to the open-ended question (“tell us where they are needed”) are discussed in the Qualitative Analysis section of this report.

Question 5


Question 5 was as follows, “What other important service providers are needed in your community? (Select up to 3)” and the following choices were given:

- “Clean energy companies”
- “Gyms and fitness centers”
- “Medical and healthcare providers”
- “Warehouses”
- “Shopping centers”
- “Technology companies”
- “Office buildings”
- “Childcare centers”
- “Community centers”
- “Grocery stores”

- "Other. If so, please explain."

As shown in Figure 5, none of these service providers were selected by a majority of respondents. The top selections were "Medical and Healthcare Providers" (43%), "Community Centers" (38%), and "Grocery Stores" (29%).

Figure 5:



Question 5 also included an option for "Other. If so, please explain" and responses to this prompt are included in the qualitative analysis below.

Question 6


Question 6 was as follows, "How can the county make it easier for people in your community to use its services? Check all that apply" and the following choices were given:

- "Online Services"
- "Applications that are easier to complete"
- "Faster response to requests"
- "More physical locations"
- "County service navigators"

- "Transportation assistance to county services"
- "Other (please specify)"

Figure 6, below, shows the results. The options chosen by a majority of residents included "Online Services" (60%), "Faster Responses to Requests" (59%), and "Applications Easier to Complete" (53%). The options least chosen were "More Physical Locations" (39%), "Transportation Assistance to County Services" (33%), and "County Service Navigators" (21%).

Figure 6:



Question 7

Question 7 asked, "Are there any other county services that would be helpful for your community? Responses to this prompt are included in the qualitative analysis below.


Question 8

Question 8, the final budget priorities question, was as follows, "How would you distribute the budget across all service categories? All numbers below are considered percentages and should add up to 100%." The following choices were given:

- "Finance and Government Services"
- "Human Services"
- "Internal Services"
- "Public Safety"
- "Public Works and Community Services"
- "Health and Hospital Services"

Figure 7, below, shows the results. The average respondent would like a bit more than one-fifth of the County's budget be spent on "Health and Hospital Services" (23%) and "Public Safety (23%)", a bit less than one-fifth on "Public Works and Community Services" (18%) and "Human Services" (18%) and, roughly one-tenth of the budget on "Finance and Government Services" (10%) and "Internal Services" (9%).

Figure 7:



3. QUALITATIVE ANALYSIS

Methodology

Data Sources: The English and Spanish language survey datasets were independently collected and not direct translations of each other. Each dataset contained similar structured (multiple-choice) and unstructured (free-text) fields. Open-ended responses were captured in optional, "other (please explain)", fields. The initial count of distinct open-ended responses was 25,936 in the English-language survey and 599 in the Spanish-language survey.

Cleaning and Preparation: In preparation for analysis, the raw data underwent systematic cleaning. We analyzed Spanish responses in the native language. Placeholder entries like "Open-Ended Response", "N/A," and blank responses were excluded. Remaining responses were stripped of whitespace, normalized to lowercase, and preprocessed for a consistent, uniform design. This process yielded a final count of 20,894 usable distinct open-ended responses in the English-language survey and 320 in the Spanish-language survey.

Thematic Classification: The thematic portion of the qualitative analysis involved creating a dictionary of keywords and phrases, developed inductively from the data and supported by the public planning literature, which was used to define core community need themes. Subsequently, each response was scanned using regular expressions to match one or more of these themes. Responses could be tagged for multiple themes if applicable. Lastly, the frequency of each theme was aggregated across datasets (English and Spanish).

Themes Used:

<u>Theme Example</u>	<u>Keywords (EN/ES)</u>
Food Access	grocery, supermercado, food, tienda
Housing	housing, shelter, vivienda, rent
Health & Clinics	doctor, mental health, clinic, centros de salud, farmacias
Public Safety	safety, police, seguridad, alumbrado
Customer Service	automated message, technology, AI, servicios bilingues,
Childcare & Schools	education, guardería, niños, infantil, regreso a clases
Community Engagement	meetings, bilingual, Spanish access, juntas, advertising
Transportation	bus, mobility, transporte, camión
Infrastructure	Water, plumbing, lighting, calle, roads, highways, sidewalks, luz de calle, baches, drenaje
Community Services	centros para jóvenes, centros de recursos, clases de inglés

Findings

Thematic Frequencies:

<u>Theme</u>	<u>Total Mentions</u>
Infrastructure	2,892
Transportation	978
Public Safety	842
Community Engagement	701
Housing	612
Elders/ Seniors	311
Food Access	214
Childcare & Schools	198
Health & Clinics	173

Top Concern: Infrastructure

- English: Infrastructure keywords mentioned 1,914 times
- Spanish: Infrastructure keywords mentioned 978 times

District-Level & Language-Based Insights:

- Spanish-language respondents:
 - Showed a disproportionate need for English classes for Spanish adult learners “Mas Clases de Ingles para Adultos”.
 - Called for increased access to bilingual materials and translation services.
 - Expressed concerns for basic needs (e.g., clinics, parks, childcare), indicating possible structural exclusion.
 - Showed a disproportionate need for community meetings in Spanish (“Más juntas comunitarias”).
- District Level Needs:
 - Districts 1, 3, and 5 showed high concentrations of infrastructure related concerns, particularly regarding roads, street lighting, sidewalks, and drainage systems. Respondents frequently highlighted deteriorating road conditions and insufficient lighting as key safety and quality of life concerns.
 - District 3, along with rural and unincorporated areas, exhibited a broader range of infrastructure and service access concerns. In addition to repeated mentions of water systems, sidewalks, and road conditions, respondents also identified gaps in transportation access and essential services which included the limited availability of grocery stores.
 - District 4, and communities in the low desert, expressed concerns regarding lack of transportation.

Quantitative Correlation (Integrated Insight):

- Respondents who selected infrastructure-related priorities in multiple choice questions frequently reinforce these concerns in open-ended responses, particularly through repeated mentions of things like roads, sidewalks, and street lighting.

- Responding to selected transportation in multiple choice questions often echo these concerns in open-ended fields, while also expanding on them by describing the barriers to accessing services, employment, and healthcare. This suggests that transportation is not only a priority but also a functional constraint affecting multiple areas of daily life.
- Housing related sections and structured responses were consistently reflected in open-ended responses through mentions of rent, affordability, and housing availability which indicates that housing is both a stated priority and an ongoing concern which was reinforced with the qualitative input.
- In contrast, themes related to community engagement and awareness alongside service accessibility appeared the majority of the time only in open-ended responses than in multiple choice sections.

Policy Recommendations

Equitable Access to Housing and Utilities:

- Prioritize affordable housing development and tenant protection ordinances in all districts.
- Streamline the permit process for homeowners who seek to improve their property (ADUs).
- Explore and strengthen collaboration with nonprofits for rapid rehousing and shelter expansion.
- Improve electrical and internet access through infrastructure investment or voucher programs.

Support for Aging Population

- Constituents expressed a need for greater resources for the county's aging community. Concerns included gaps in transportation, technology assistance, and affordability (specifically utilities) for seniors.
- Expand in-home or community-based tech assistance for aging population.
- Increase utility assistance / discount programs for seniors.
- Offer more senior-friendly service navigation programs (both digitally and in-person).

Government Service Accessibility

- Require all essential county services to be available across three formats: in-person, phone (live agents), and online platforms.
- Expand frontline staffing and customer service standards.

Healthcare & Mental Health Expansion:

- Expand telehealth access and public education on available county services.
- Open bilingual 24-hour urgent care and mental health clinics in areas with high unmet need (Districts 2, 4).
- Increase transportation to rural hospitals, specifically for seniors.

Safety and Infrastructure Investment:

- Prioritize community safety lighting programs and sidewalk repairs in areas flagged by respondents.
- Improve coordination with public works for responsive pothole, unpaved roads, lighting, and drainage repairs.

- Improve the beautification of community parks and shopping centers.
- Increase infrastructure efforts in rural and unincorporated areas to match bandwidth and water demands.

Spanish Language Accessibility:

- Mandate bilingual outreach for all public-facing services and events.
- Fund community liaison roles focused on increasing Spanish-language participation.
- Expand access to free or low-cost adult education programs, including ESL courses for non-English speakers.
- Ensure availability of bilingual customer service across all public services.

Targeted Outreach:

- Close feedback loop with communities by regularly reporting progress on infrastructure repairs through community meetings, social media, and bilingual updates.
- Expand outreach on adult ESL and education programs by advertising through schools, community centers, and clinics frequented by Spanish-speaking residents.
- Develop targeted outreach for seniors by working with senior centers, healthcare providers, and faith-based organizations to share information on transportation and utility assistance.
- Use mobile outreach strategies (like pop-up resource fairs, mobile service vans, etc.) to reach residents in areas with limited transportation access.

4. CONCLUSION

This report reflects the voices of nearly 27,000 community members and represents a critical step in engaging community voices in decision-making. When compared to the 2025 survey results, the 2026 data reveals a notable shift in community priorities from immediate public safety and basic needs to foundational infrastructure. While Public Safety was the top service category in 2025, Public Works and Community Services took the lead in 2026. This shift is heavily reinforced by the qualitative data, where community mentions of "Infrastructure" and "Transportation" overtook "Food Access" as the leading concerns. Furthermore, community support for funding Medical Care and Health Services has outpaced the demand for hiring more Law Enforcement Officers, highlighting an evolving perspective on public well-being.

5. APPENDIX

Stata Code for Quantitative Analysis

```

capture clear
capture log close
log using RivCoSurvey.log, replace

* Steven Ruggles, Sarah Flood, Matthew Sobek, Daniel Backman, Grace Cooper, Julia A. Rivera Drew, Stephanie Richards, Renae Rodgers, Jonathan
Schroeder, and Kari C.W. Williams. IPUMS USA: Version 16.0 [dataset]. Minneapolis, MN: IPUMS, 2025.
* https://doi.org/10.18128/D010.V16.0
* Sample = 2017-2021 ACS 5-year + 2018-2022 ACS 5-year + 2019-2023 ACS 5-year
use usa_00016.dta
tab us2023c_state year, m

*** KEEP CALIFORNIA
* State code missing for earlier samples, so this is effectively 2019-2023 ACS 5-year
keep if us2023c_state=="06"
tab multyear

*** KEEP RIVERSIDE PUMAs
keep if substr(us2023c_puma,1,3)=="065"

**** RACE/ETHNICITY
gen hispanic= us2023c_hisp!="01"
gen race_eth=1 if (us2023c_race=="3" | us2023c_race=="4" | us2023c_race=="5") & hispanic!=1
replace race_eth=2 if us2023c_race=="6" & hispanic!=1
replace race_eth=3 if us2023c_race=="2" & hispanic!=1
replace race_eth=4 if hispanic==1
replace race_eth=5 if us2023c_race=="7" & hispanic!=1
replace race_eth=6 if us2023c_race=="1" & hispanic!=1
replace race_eth=7 if us2023c_race=="9" & hispanic!=1
replace race_eth=8 if us2023c_race=="8" & hispanic!=1
tab race_eth, m
drop hispanic

*** AGE
destring us2023c_agep, replace
gen age=1 if us2023c_agep<18
replace age=2 if age==. & us2023c_agep<25
replace age=3 if age==. & us2023c_agep<35
replace age=4 if age==. & us2023c_agep<45
replace age=5 if age==. & us2023c_agep<55
replace age=6 if age==. & us2023c_agep<65
replace age=7 if age==.
tab age, m

*** SEX
gen female= us2023c_sex=="2"
tab female, m

*** EDUCATION
gen education=1 if us2023c_schl=="BB"
replace us2023c_schl="" if us2023c_schl=="BB"
destring us2023c_schl, replace
replace education=1 if education==. & us2023c_schl<=15
replace education=2 if education==. & us2023c_schl<=17
replace education=3 if education==. & us2023c_schl<=20
replace education=4 if education==. & us2023c_schl==21
replace education=5 if education==.
tab education, m

count
local ACScount=r(N)

save acs.dta, replace

clear
insheet using "RAW- All Districts, Cleaned For Stata.csv"

**** RACE/ETHNICITY
gen race_eth=1 if amerind==1
replace race_eth=2 if asian==1
replace race_eth=3 if black==1
replace race_eth=4 if hispanic==1
replace race_eth=5 if nhpi==1
replace race_eth=6 if white==1
replace race_eth=7 if twoplus==1
replace race_eth=8 if another==1 | mena==1
tab race_eth, m
* Note: I hand coded entries if they responded to "Another race (please specify in below)" and it was clear from that information what they should
have checked.

*** AGE
gen age=1 if under18==1
replace age=2 if age18_24==1
replace age=3 if age25_34==1
replace age=4 if age35_44==1
replace age=5 if age45_54==1
replace age=6 if age55_64==1
replace age=7 if age65plus==1
tab age, m

```

```

*** SEX
replace male=. if male+female+transgender+nonbinary+declinesex==0
replace female=(1-male) /* Note: treating transgender, and nonbinary as Sex=female */
drop male transgender nonbinary declinesex
tab female, m

*** EDUCATION
gen education=1 if lths==1
replace education=2 if education==. & hs==1
replace education=3 if education==. & somecollege==1
replace education=4 if education==. & bachelor==1 | somgrad==1
replace education=5 if education==. & advanceddegree==1
tab education, m

*** COUNT IF DEMOGRAPHY IS MISSING
gen demogmissing=race_eth==. | age==. | female==. | education==.
tab demogmissing

count if demogmissing==0
local rivcodemogcount=r(N)

*** GENERATE WEIGHTS
* weight_all=weight by demography for all respondents living in riverside county, excluding those with missing demography
* weight_18plus=weight by demography for adult residents living in riverside county, excluding those with missing demography

gen weight_all=0
qui forvalues R=1/8 {
    forvalues A=1/7 {
        forvalues F=0/1 {
            forvalues E=1/5 {
                preserve
                clear
                use acs.dta
                count if race_eth=='R' & age=='A' & female=='F' & education=='E'
                local thiscount=r(N)
                restore
                count if race_eth=='R' & age=='A' & female=='F' & education=='E'
                replace weight_all=(`thiscount'/`ACScount')/(r(N)/`rivcodemogcount') if race_eth=='R' & age=='A' &
                    female=='F' & education=='E'
                noi display "Weight of race_eth=='R' & age=='A' & female=='F' & education=='E': "
                    (`thiscount'/`ACScount')/(r(N)/`rivcodemogcount')
            }
        }
    }
}

sum weight_all if weight_all!=0, d
gen weight_18plus=weight_all
replace weight_18plus=0 if age==1
sum weight_18plus if weight_18plus!=0, d

*** ANALYSIS BY
* All unweighted
* RivCo residents weighted by demography to be representative of RivCo residents
* RivCo adult residents weighted by demography to be representative of RivCo adults
* RivCo adult residents lacking demography
* By District, RivCo adult residents weighted by demography to be representative of RivCo adults
* By outside RivCo, unweighted

* Question 1: Which Riverside County services are most important to you? (Choose up to 3)
sum health humanservices publicsafety publicworks financegovservices internalservices
sum health humanservices publicsafety publicworks financegovservices internalservices if resident==1 [weight=weight_all]
sum health humanservices publicsafety publicworks financegovservices internalservices if resident==1 [weight=weight_18plus]
sum health humanservices publicsafety publicworks financegovservices internalservices if resident==1 [weight=demogmissing]
foreach X in district1 district2 district3 district4 district5 {
    sum health humanservices publicsafety publicworks financegovservices internalservices if `X'==1 [weight=weight_18plus]
}
sum health humanservices publicsafety publicworks financegovservices internalservices if resident!=1

* Question 2: Choose the initiatives you think should receive more funding in Riverside County. (Choose up to 5)
sum law fire medcarehealthserv disease mental road animalservices affordable rehab parkslibs access growth fiscal abuse
sum law fire medcarehealthserv disease mental road animalservices affordable rehab parkslibs access growth fiscal abuse if resident==1
[weight=weight_all]
sum law fire medcarehealthserv disease mental road animalservices affordable rehab parkslibs access growth fiscal abuse if resident==1
[weight=weight_18plus]
sum law fire medcarehealthserv disease mental road animalservices affordable rehab parkslibs access growth fiscal abuse if resident==1
[weight=demogmissing]
foreach X in district1 district2 district3 district4 district5 {
    sum law fire medcarehealthserv disease mental road animalservices affordable rehab parkslibs access growth fiscal abuse if `X'==1
[weight=weight_18plus]
}
sum law fire medcarehealthserv disease mental road animalservices affordable rehab parkslibs access growth fiscal abuse if resident!=1

* Question 3: Choose the initiatives you think should receive less funding in Riverside County. (Choose up to 5)
sum law2 fire2 medcarehealthserv2 disease2 mental2 road2 animalservices2 affordable2 rehab2 parkslibs2 access2 growth2 fiscal2 abuse2
sum law2 fire2 medcarehealthserv2 disease2 mental2 road2 animalservices2 affordable2 rehab2 parkslibs2 access2 growth2 fiscal2 abuse2 if resident==1
[weight=weight_all]
sum law2 fire2 medcarehealthserv2 disease2 mental2 road2 animalservices2 affordable2 rehab2 parkslibs2 access2 growth2 fiscal2 abuse2 if resident==1
[weight=weight_18plus]
sum law2 fire2 medcarehealthserv2 disease2 mental2 road2 animalservices2 affordable2 rehab2 parkslibs2 access2 growth2 fiscal2 abuse2 if resident==1
[weight=demogmissing]
foreach X in district1 district2 district3 district4 district5 {
    sum law2 fire2 medcarehealthserv2 disease2 mental2 road2 animalservices2 affordable2 rehab2 parkslibs2 access2 growth2 fiscal2 abuse2 if
`X'==1 [weight=weight_18plus]
}
sum law2 fire2 medcarehealthserv2 disease2 mental2 road2 animalservices2 affordable2 rehab2 parkslibs2 access2 growth2 fiscal2 abuse2 if resident!=1

```

```

* Question 4: What utility or public works improvements does your community need? Choose up to 3
sum sewer water electric bandwidth paved lighting parks sidewalks bridges
sum sewer water electric bandwidth paved lighting parks sidewalks bridges if resident==1 [weight=weight_all]
sum sewer water electric bandwidth paved lighting parks sidewalks bridges if resident==1 [weight=weight_18plus]
sum sewer water electric bandwidth paved lighting parks sidewalks bridges if resident==1 [weight=demogmissing]
foreach X in district1 district2 district3 district4 district5 {
    sum sewer water electric bandwidth paved lighting parks sidewalks bridges if `X`=1 [weight=weight_18plus]
}
sum sewer water electric bandwidth paved lighting parks sidewalks bridges if resident!=1

* Question 5: What other important service providers are needed in your community? (Choose up to 3)
sum grocery shopping gyms communitycenters childcare hightech cleanenergy logistics office medical
sum grocery shopping gyms communitycenters childcare hightech cleanenergy logistics office medical if resident==1 [weight=weight_all]
sum grocery shopping gyms communitycenters childcare hightech cleanenergy logistics office medical if resident==1 [weight=weight_18plus]
sum grocery shopping gyms communitycenters childcare hightech cleanenergy logistics office medical if resident==1 [weight=demogmissing]
foreach X in district1 district2 district3 district4 district5 {
    sum grocery shopping gyms communitycenters childcare hightech cleanenergy logistics office medical if `X`=1 [weight=weight_18plus]
}
sum grocery shopping gyms communitycenters childcare hightech cleanenergy logistics office medical if resident!=1

* Question 6: How can the county make it easier for people in your community to use its services?
sum onlineservices easier faster morelocations navigators transportationassist
sum onlineservices easier faster morelocations navigators transportationassist if resident==1 [weight=weight_all]
sum onlineservices easier faster morelocations navigators transportationassist if resident==1 [weight=weight_18plus]
sum onlineservices easier faster morelocations navigators transportationassist if resident==1 [weight=demogmissing]
foreach X in district1 district2 district3 district4 district5 {
    sum onlineservices easier faster morelocations navigators transportationassist if `X`=1 [weight=weight_18plus]
}
sum onlineservices easier faster morelocations navigators transportationassist if resident!=1

* Question 7: How would you distribute the budget across all service categories?
sum pctfiscal pcthuman pctinternal pctsafety pctpublicworks pctruhs
sum pctfiscal pcthuman pctinternal pctsafety pctpublicworks pctruhs if resident==1 [weight=weight_all]
sum pctfiscal pcthuman pctinternal pctsafety pctpublicworks pctruhs if resident==1 [weight=weight_18plus]
sum pctfiscal pcthuman pctinternal pctsafety pctpublicworks pctruhs if resident==1 [weight=demogmissing]
foreach X in district1 district2 district3 district4 district5 {
    sum pctfiscal pcthuman pctinternal pctsafety pctpublicworks pctruhs if `X`=1 [weight=weight_18plus]
}
sum pctfiscal pcthuman pctinternal pctsafety pctpublicworks pctruhs if resident!=1

```



FY26/27 Community Budget Workshops Comprehensive Public Comment Summary

Executive Summary

Across the five FY26/27 Community Budget Workshops, the County received feedback from 158 attendees and heard 56 verbal or written comments. The feedback shows interest in affordable housing, childcare and youth supports, human services, infrastructure, animal services, and stronger fiscal transparency.

Metric	Total / Note
Reported attendance across all workshops	158
Verbal or written public comments	56
Workshop dates	April 7, April 8, April 21, April 22, and April 30, 2026

Priority Themes for Comments/Comment Cards

Priority Area	District(s)	Comment Entries	Representative Requests
Affordable Housing	D1, D2, D3, D4, D5	22	Ensure long-term sustainability; Increase funding for affordable, senior, and transitional housing; expand homeownership pipeline; Shift funding to supportive housing, housing trust; Continue County investment; Expand homeownership programs
Childcare and Youth Programs	D1, D2, D3, D4, D5	9	Expand workforce pipelines; Support local job creation; Fund youth job programs; Continue outreach and youth/family support; Increase childcare funding; Invest in childcare; Increase investment in preventative child welfare programs; Develop or expand partnerships with CBU

Governance, Accountability and Fiscal Oversight	D2, D3, D4	7	Increase fiscal oversight; Reassess major spending decisions; Investigate corruption, abuse, and waste; Create investigative group; Address large-scale fraud; Support election processes; Clarify handling of welfare funds
Human Services, In-Home Supportive Services (IHSS), Senior Care, and Health	D2, D3, D4	6	Strengthen IHSS; Protect seniors from institutionalization; Address affordability issues; Prioritize senior services; Address income eligibility gaps; Clarify IHSS classification; Maintain services; Improve support for developmental disabilities; Maintain and strengthen IHSS funding
Animal Services and Welfare	D1, D4, D5	4	Explore/designate a coyote sanctuary near Canyon Crest; Increase Trap-Neuter-Return funding; Expand coordination with cities; Move toward no-kill policy; Improve reunification/adoption; Allocate funding to Animal Services for equipment, staffing, and proactive investigations; Clarify cross-agency responsibilities; Animal licensing
Infrastructure, Roads, Flooding, Lighting, and Shade	D3, D4, D5	3	Maintain or increase investment in essential infrastructure; Strengthen community-county partnerships; Repair and maintain Portola, Rancho California, Glen Oaks roads; Install speed bumps
Workforce and Economic Development	D2, D4	3	Create County trade school grants; Expand trades education; Invest in economic/workforce planning; Adopt culture incentive zone ordinance
Nonprofit Capacity and Community Services	D4	1	Continue funding nonprofit capacity
Short-Term Rentals and Code Enforcement	D1	1	Develop a mobile reporting app for potholes; Expand functionality

Overall Insights

- Affordable housing was the most consistent theme, raised in every district either directly or through related concerns such as homeownership, rent burden, farmworker housing, senior/transitional housing, or pet-friendly housing access.
- Childcare and youth programs emerged as a repeated economic and family-stability issue, especially in Districts 3, 4, and 5, with several requests to co-locate childcare with housing or community centers.
- Human services comments centered on In-Home Supportive Services (IHSS), senior care, healthcare access, developmental disability services, Medi-Cal access, and eligibility gaps that impact vulnerable residents.
- Infrastructure needs varied by district and included road maintenance, flood control, sidewalks, shade, lighting, speed bumps, and broader community resilience investments.
- Governance and accountability concerns included fiscal transparency, Requests for Proposals delays, election-related funding questions, fraud, waste, and requests for oversight or clearer decision-making processes.
- Animal welfare was raised through multiple lenses: Trap-Neuter-Return and no-kill policies, wildlife stewardship, burro safety, animal licensing, breeder permits, and the connection between pet-friendly housing and shelter outcomes.

Consolidated Key Requests by Priority Area

Affordable Housing

Districts: D1, D2, D3, D4, D5

- Maintain and strengthen IHSS funding; ensure long-term sustainability
- Increase funding for affordable, senior, and transitional housing; expand homeownership pipeline
- Shift funding to supportive housing and housing trust
- Continue County investment; expand homeownership programs
- Shift reentry/probation to housing/support services
- Continue and expand affordable housing funding; strengthen collaborations with community housing organizations
- Increase childcare funding, facilities, and integrated development models
- Continue sustained local investment in affordable housing
- Expand childcare facilities; co-locate with housing and community centers
- Expand affordable housing
- Strengthen short-term rental enforcement
- Expand year-round housing and services
- Require pet-friendly units; enforce fair housing
- Sustain local housing investment

- Increase Unincorporated Community Initiative funding; implement housing equity programs
- Clarify protections and income programs
- Hold more forums; explore rent control
- Invest in unincorporated areas
- Support youth programs and housing links
- Affordable housing for farm workers

Childcare and Youth Programs

Districts: D1, D2, D3, D4, D5

- Expand workforce pipelines; support local job creation; fund senior/youth job programs
- Continue outreach and youth/family support
- Increase childcare funding
- Prioritize investment in family childcare
- Expand childcare infrastructure
- Create Youth Advisory Commission budget
- Fund childcare providers
- Increase investment in preventative child welfare programs.
- Develop or expand partnerships with CBU
- Clarify handling of welfare funds

Governance, Accountability, Transparency, and Fiscal Oversight

Districts: D2, D3, D4

- Increase fiscal oversight; reassess major spending decisions
- Investigate corruption, abuse, and waste; create investigative group
- Address large-scale fraud; prioritize public safety
- Support election processes
- Clarify authority direction
- Define clear requests for proposals timeline policy

Human Services, IHSS, Senior Care, and Health

Districts: D2, D3, D4

- Strengthen IHSS; protect seniors from institutionalization; address affordability issues
- Prioritize senior services; address income eligibility gaps
- Clarify IHSS classification; maintain services
- Improve support for developmental disabilities
- Invest in immigrant legal services
- Funding and meeting space

Animal Services and Welfare

Districts: D1, D4, D5

- Explore/designate a coyote sanctuary near Canyon Crest
- Increase Trap-Neuter-Return funding; expand coordination with cities. Move toward no-kill policy; improve reunification/adoption
- Allocate funding to Animal Services for equipment, staffing, and proactive investigations; clarify cross-agency responsibilities
- Animal licensing

Infrastructure and Roads

Districts: D3, D4, D5

- Maintain or increase investment in essential infrastructure
- Repair and maintain Portola, Rancho California, Glen Oaks roads
- Install speed bumps
- Develop a mobile reporting app for potholes; expand functionality

Workforce and Economic Development

Districts: D2, D4

- Create County trade school grants; expand trades education
- Invest in economic/workforce planning
- Adopt culture incentive zone ordinance

Nonprofit Capacity and Community Services

Districts: D4

- Continue funding nonprofit capacity

In-Person Votes by Priority Category

The following table shows the categories of in-person total votes across all community budget workshops.

Category	D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	Total
Affordable housing	15	16	9	54	15	109
Social assistance programs (housing, veterans' services, senior services, food support)	9	22	15	50	8	104
Medical care and health services	11	18	9	32	5	75
Mental/behavioral health services	3	14	11	29	5	62
Road maintenance	14	10	10	19	5	58
Fire and emergency response	6	20	10	14	6	56
Business growth and job support	4	10	12	20	10	56
Law enforcement officers	5	24	13	7	1	50
Child protection and family support	5	15	6	18	5	49
Parks and libraries	9	7	9	16	5	46

Rehabilitation, re-entry and probation support	10	9	1	14	2	36
Financial budget and auditing	2	2	19	12	0	35
Animal services	4	8	5	8	3	28
Disease detection and vaccination programs	2	1	2	12	1	18

Flores, Kate

From: Roy Bleckert <sprintcar166@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 11, 2026 10:37 PM
To: Supervisor Medina - 1st District; Office of 2nd District Supervisor; District3; District 4 Supervisor V. Manuel Perez; District 5; Van Wagenen, Jeffrey; Clerk of the Board; Sharp, Donald; Bianco, Chad; michaelhestrin@rivcoda.org; mtran@rivco.org
Subject: Agenda item 2.7 5-12-26

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system. **DO NOT** click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Can we get a staff report on Item 2.7 the budget survey B4 public comment ? BTW in case traffic or something goes haywire I am filing a speaker card on this !!!

--

Roy Bleckert..... 1 Rad Bad Dude !!!!! 951 208 9967

Confidentiality Statement: The information contained in this transmission is privileged and confidential. It is intended only for the recipient(s) named above. If you are not the intended recipient, please forward this to the intended recipient immediately. Anyone other than the intended recipient is strictly prohibited from any dissemination, distribution or copying of this transmission. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender immediately and destroy the transmission.

Flores, Kate

From: Acquia Mail
Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2026 9:32 AM
To: ivannauriarte1205@gmail.com
Cc: Clerk of the Board
Subject: Request to Speak Web Submission



Thank you for submitting your request to speak. The Clerk of the Board office has received your request and will be prepared to allow you to speak when your item is called. To attend the meeting, please call (669) 900-6833 and use **Meeting ID # 864 4411 6015 . Password is 20260512**. You will be muted until your item is pulled and your name is called. Please dial in at 9:00 am with the phone number you provided in the form so you can be identified during the meeting.

Submitted on May 12, 2026

Submitted values are:

First Name

Ivanna

Last Name

Uriarte

Phone

7609052110

Email

ivannauriarte1205@gmail.com

Agenda Date

05/12/2026

Agenda Item # or Public Comment

2.7

Flores, Kate

From: Roy Bleckert <sprintcar166@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 11, 2026 11:51 PM
To: Supervisor Medina - 1st District; Office of 2nd District Supervisor; District3; District 4 Supervisor V. Manuel Perez; District 5; Van Wagenen, Jeffrey; Clerk of the Board; Sharp, Donald; Bianco, Chad; michaelhestrin@rivcoda.org; mtran@rivco.org; Walsh, Michael; Perez, Juan; Britt, Kimberly; Marshall, Heidi
Subject: Housing Costs & affordability Agenda item 2.7 5-12-26

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system. **DO NOT** click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

COB please make this part of the public record for agenda Item 2.7 5-12-26 BOS Mtg !!!

To The Feckless Five & Ex Staff of RivCo

Only in the BI(ZZARO world of Government Gangsters could \$500.000 dollars a copy be considered AFFORDABLE HOUSING for a HOVEL of a cubical Apartment > PROVE ME WRONG?

From the public hearing on 4/28/26 agenda Items 3.11 & 3.12 I brought up the cost of a 250SF studio apt & the current cost to build such a unit in MoVal is approx a 100K per unit so if we built the SBX project on Perris Blvd in MV as brand new 24 studio apartments the cost would be \$2,400,000

Spending \$5,600,000 on 60 year old Rehabbed houses & cramping these foster kids in like sardines @ this GOD AWFUL PRICE please explain how this is anything but INSANE ???

Again I am giving Y'all a chance to explain how over \$500 Billion in these projects recently passed by the RivCo Supes @ 3,4,5 Times the market cost is NOT doing a DISSERVICE to the Community in EPIC proportions we have NEVER seen B4 in recorded Human History !!!

--

Roy Bleckert..... 1 Rad Bad Dude !!!!! 951 208 9967

Confidentiality Statement: The information contained in this transmission is privileged and confidential. It is intended only for the recipient(s) named above. If you are not the intended recipient, please forward this to the intended recipient immediately. Anyone other than the intended recipient is strictly prohibited from any dissemination, distribution or copying of this transmission. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender immediately and destroy the transmission.

✓

**Riverside County Board of Supervisors
Request to Speak**

Submit request to the Clerk of the Board (right of podium), individual speakers are limited to a maximum of three (3) minutes, subject to Board Rules listed on the reverse side of this form. The Board may limit the public input on any item, based on the number of people requesting to speak and the business of the Board.

SPEAKER'S NAME: Roy Buzzell

Address: _____

City: _____ Zip: _____

Phone #: _____

Date: _____ Agenda # 2.7

PLEASE STATE YOUR POSITION BELOW:

Position on "Regular" (non-appealed) Agenda Item:

_____ Support _____ Oppose _____ Neutral

Note: If you are here for an agenda item that is filed for "Appeal", please state separately your position on the appeal below:

_____ Support _____ Oppose _____ Neutral

I give my 3 minutes to: _____

Do you need a Spanish translator? Yes _____ No _____

BOARD RULES

Requests to Address Board on "Agenda" items:

You may request to be heard on a published agenda item. Requests to be heard must be submitted to the Clerk of the Board before the scheduled meeting time.

Requests to Address Board on items that are "NOT" on the Agenda:

Notwithstanding any other provisions of these rules, member of the public shall have the right to address the Board during the mid-morning "Oral Communications" segment of the published agenda. Said purpose for address must pertain to issues which are under the direct jurisdiction of the Board of Supervisors. YOUR TIME WILL BE LIMITED TO THREE (3) MINUTES. The Board may limit the public input on any item, based on the number of people requesting to speak and the business of the Board.

Power Point Presentations/Printed Material:

Speakers who intend to conduct a formalized Power Point presentation or provide printed material must notify the Clerk of the Board's Office by 12 noon on the Monday preceding the Tuesday Board meeting, ensuring that the Clerk's Office has sufficient copies of all printed materials and at least one (1) copy of the Power Point CD. Copies of printed material given to the Clerk (by Monday noon deadline) will be provided to each Supervisor. If you have the need to use the overhead "Elmo" projector at the Board meeting, please ensure your material is clear and with proper contrast, notifying the Clerk well ahead of the meeting, of your intent to use the Elmo. Speakers are prohibited from bringing signs, placards, or posters into the hearing room.

Individual Speaker Limits:

Individual speakers are limited to a maximum of three (3) minutes. The Board may limit the public input on any item, based on the number of people requesting to speak and the business of the Board. Please step up to the podium when the Chair calls your name and begin speaking immediately. Pull the microphone to your mouth so that the Board, audience, and audio recording system hear you clearly. Once you start speaking, the "green" podium light will light. The "yellow" light will come on when you have one (1) minute remaining. When you have 30 seconds remaining, the "yellow" light will begin flash, indicating you must quickly wrap up your comments. Your time is up when the "red" light flashes. The Chair adheres to a strict three (3) minutes per speaker. ***Note: If you intend to give your time to a "Group/Organized Presentation", please state so clearly at the very bottom of the reverse side of this form.***

Group/Organized Presentations:

Group/organized presentations with more than one (1) speaker will be limited to nine (9) minutes at the Chair's discretion. The organizer of the presentation will automatically receive the first three (3) minutes, with the remaining six (6) minutes relinquished by other speakers, as requested by them on a completed "Request to Speak" form, and clearly indicated at the front bottom of the form.

Addressing the Board & Acknowledgement by Chair:

The Chair will determine what order the speakers will address the Board and will call on all speakers in pairs. The first speaker should immediately step to the podium and begin addressing the Board. The second speaker should take up a position in one of the chamber aisles to quickly step up to the podium after the preceding speaker. This is to afford an efficient and timely Board meeting, giving all attendees the opportunity to make their case. Speakers are prohibited from making personal attacks, and/or using coarse, crude, profane or vulgar language while speaking to the Board members, staff, the public and/or meeting participants. Such behavior, at the discretion of the Board Chair may result in removal from the Board Chambers by Sheriff Deputies.



Riverside County Board of Supervisors
Request to Speak

Submit request to the Clerk of the Board (right of podium), Speakers are entitled to three (3) minutes, subject to Board Rules listed on the reverse side of this form. The Board may limit the public input on any item, based on the number of people requesting to speak and the business of the Board.

SPEAKER'S NAME: Veronica Langworthy

Address: _____

City: _____ Zip: _____

Phone #: 951 704 4210

Date: 5/12/26 Agenda # 2-7 (30478)

PLEASE STATE YOUR POSITION BELOW:

Position on "Regular" (non-appealed) Agenda Item: Quantitative Analysis ^{survey & workshops} ~~more info~~ 5 >???

_____ Support _____ Oppose _____ Neutral

Note: If you are here for an agenda item that is filed for "Appeal", please state separately your position on the appeal below:

_____ Support _____ Oppose _____ Neutral

I give my 3 minutes to: _____

Parking validations available for speakers only – see Clerk of the Board.

(Revised: 04/23/2025)

BOARD RULES

Requests to Address Board on "Agenda" Items:

You may request to be heard on a published agenda item. Requests to be heard must be submitted to the Clerk of the Board before the scheduled meeting time.

Requests to Address Board on items that are "NOT" on the Agenda:

Notwithstanding any other provisions of these rules, member of the public shall have the right to address the Board during the mid-morning "Oral Communications" segment of the published agenda. Said purpose for address must pertain to issues which are under the direct jurisdiction of the Board of Supervisors. YOUR TIME WILL BE LIMITED TO THREE (3) MINUTES. The Board may limit the public input on any item, based on the number of people requesting to speak and the business of the Board.

Power Point Presentations/Printed Material:

Speakers who intend to conduct a formalized Power Point presentation or provide printed material must notify the Clerk of the Board's Office by 12 noon on the Monday preceding the Tuesday Board meeting, ensuring that the Clerk's Office has sufficient copies of all printed materials and at least one (1) copy of the Power Point CD. Copies of printed material given to the Clerk (by Monday noon deadline) will be provided to each Supervisor. If you have the need to use the overhead "Elmo" projector at the Board meeting, please ensure your material is clear and with proper contrast, notifying the Clerk well ahead of the meeting, of your intent to use the Elmo. **Speakers are prohibited from bringing signs, placards, or posters into the hearing room.**

Individual Speaker Limits:

Individual speakers are limited to a maximum of three (3) minutes. The Board may limit the public input on any item, based on the number of people requesting to speak and the business of the Board. Please step up to the podium when the Chair calls your name and begin speaking immediately. Pull the microphone to your mouth so that the Board, audience, and audio recording system hear you clearly. Once you start speaking, the "green" podium light will light. The "yellow" light will come on when you have one (1) minute remaining. When you have 30 seconds remaining, the "yellow" light will begin flash, indicating you must quickly wrap up your comments. Your time is up when the "red" light flashes. The Chair adheres to a strict three (3) minutes per speaker. ***Note: If you intend to give your time to a "Group/Organized Presentation", please state so clearly at the very bottom of the reverse side of this form.***

Group/Organized Presentations:

Group/organized presentations with more than one (1) speaker will be limited to nine (9) minutes at the Chair's discretion. The organizer of the presentation will automatically receive the first three (3) minutes, with the remaining six (6) minutes relinquished by other speakers, as requested by them on a completed "Request to Speak" form, and clearly indicated at the front bottom of the form.

Addressing the Board & Acknowledgement by Chair:

The Chair will determine what order the speakers will address the Board and will call on all speakers in pairs. The first speaker should immediately step to the podium and begin addressing the Board. The second speaker should take up a position in one of the chamber aisles to quickly step up to the podium after the preceding speaker. This is to afford an efficient and timely Board meeting, giving all attendees the opportunity to make their case. Speakers are prohibited from making personal attacks, and/or using course, crude, profane or vulgar language while speaking to the Board members, staff, the public and/or meeting participants. Such behavior, at the discretion of the Board Chair may result in removal from the Board Chambers by Sheriff Deputies.

Online



Riverside County Board of Supervisors Request to Speak

Submit request to the Clerk of the Board (right of podium), individual speakers are limited to a maximum of three (3) minutes, subject to Board Rules listed on the reverse side of this form. The Board may limit the public input on any item, based on the number of people requesting to speak and the business of the Board.

SPEAKER'S NAME: Ivanna Uriarte

Address: _____

City: _____ Zip: _____

Phone #: _____

Date: _____ Agenda # 2.7

PLEASE STATE YOUR POSITION BELOW:

Position on "Regular" (non-appealed) Agenda Item:

_____ Support _____ Oppose _____ Neutral

Note: If you are here for an agenda item that is filed for "Appeal", please state separately your position on the appeal below:

_____ Support _____ Oppose _____ Neutral

I give my 3 minutes to: _____

Do you need a Spanish translator? Yes _____ No _____

BOARD RULES

Requests to Address Board on "Agenda" items:

You may request to be heard on a published agenda item. Requests to be heard must be submitted to the Clerk of the Board before the scheduled meeting time.

Requests to Address Board on items that are "NOT" on the Agenda:

Notwithstanding any other provisions of these rules, member of the public shall have the right to address the Board during the mid-morning "Oral Communications" segment of the published agenda. Said purpose for address must pertain to issues which are under the direct jurisdiction of the Board of Supervisors. YOUR TIME WILL BE LIMITED TO THREE (3) MINUTES. The Board may limit the public input on any item, based on the number of people requesting to speak and the business of the Board.

Power Point Presentations/Printed Material:

Speakers who intend to conduct a formalized Power Point presentation or provide printed material must notify the Clerk of the Board's Office by 12 noon on the Monday preceding the Tuesday Board meeting, ensuring that the Clerk's Office has sufficient copies of all printed materials and at least one (1) copy of the Power Point CD. Copies of printed material given to the Clerk (by Monday noon deadline) will be provided to each Supervisor. If you have the need to use the overhead "Elmo" projector at the Board meeting, please ensure your material is clear and with proper contrast, notifying the Clerk well ahead of the meeting, of your intent to use the Elmo. Speakers are prohibited from bringing signs, placards, or posters into the hearing room.

Individual Speaker Limits:

Individual speakers are limited to a maximum of three (3) minutes. The Board may limit the public input on any item, based on the number of people requesting to speak and the business of the Board. Please step up to the podium when the Chair calls your name and begin speaking immediately. Pull the microphone to your mouth so that the Board, audience, and audio recording system hear you clearly. Once you start speaking, the "green" podium light will light. The "yellow" light will come on when you have one (1) minute remaining. When you have 30 seconds remaining, the "yellow" light will begin flash, indicating you must quickly wrap up your comments. Your time is up when the "red" light flashes. The Chair adheres to a strict three (3) minutes per speaker. *Note: If you intend to give your time to a "Group/Organized Presentation", please state so clearly at the very bottom of the reverse side of this form.*

Group/Organized Presentations:

Group/organized presentations with more than one (1) speaker will be limited to nine (9) minutes at the Chair's discretion. The organizer of the presentation will automatically receive the first three (3) minutes, with the remaining six (6) minutes relinquished by other speakers, as requested by them on a completed "Request to Speak" form, and clearly indicated at the front bottom of the form.

Addressing the Board & Acknowledgement by Chair:

The Chair will determine what order the speakers will address the Board and will call on all speakers in pairs. The first speaker should immediately step to the podium and begin addressing the Board. The second speaker should take up a position in one of the chamber aisles to quickly step up to the podium after the preceding speaker. This is to afford an efficient and timely Board meeting, giving all attendees the opportunity to make their case. Speakers are prohibited from making personal attacks, and/or using coarse, crude, profane or vulgar language while speaking to the Board members, staff, the public and/or meeting participants. Such behavior, at the discretion of the Board Chair may result in removal from the Board Chambers by Sheriff Deputies.